


Emerging South Oxfordshire Local Plan Proposed Main Modifications Consultation

Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:
Individual

Individual contact details

Q2. Due to statutory planning regulations, a name and means of contact is required for your representation to be considered:	
Title	Mr
Full name	Alan H.Jones
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	
Job title (if relevant)	
Address line 1	
Address line 2	
Address line 3	
Postal town	
Postcode	
Telephone number	
Email address	

Part B - your comments

Q5. You can provide your comments on the Emerging South Oxfordshire Local Plan Proposed Main Modifications in this section. The list of documents you can comment on are: Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications as amended by Erratum Schedule of Policies Map Changes Sustainability Appraisal Addendum Habitats Regulations Assessment Addendum If you wish to provide comments on more than one proposed main modification or document, you will be given the option once you have completed this section. Please select the document you wish to comment on using the drop-down menu below:
Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications

Q6. Which Main Modification number or consultation document are you commenting on? If you are commenting on the Main Modification document, please provide the main modification number (for example MM1) in the box below. If you are unsure of the 'modification number', please click here to view the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications. If you are commenting on any of the other consultation documents (for example the Sustainability Appraisal Addendum), please provide the relevant section, paragraph or page number in the box

MM22 and MM26

Q7. Please provide your comments in the box below. If your comments are over 500 words it would be really helpful if you could also provide a summary of your comments using the text box in the next question. You will also be able to upload any supporting documents using the button below.

Table 5 b: The figures in brackets shown against Goring should be deleted because they are unnecessary and misleading. This is because they are the possible number of new dwellings which might be built on the single reserve site included in the made Goring Neighbourhood Plan which specifically states that they should ONLY be built if the other four sites fail to produce their planned numbers. Therefore they should NOT be seen as possible ADDITIONAL dwellings. The asterisk against the bracket and the footnote to which it refers should also be removed because the change proposed above makes them unnecessary.

This criticism probably also applies to the additional figures shown in brackets against Sonning Common and Woodcote for the same reason but if this is NOT the case and it is necessary to retain the footnote, the footnote should be reworded to "including reserve sites", together with an explanation of why it is necessary to include these figures and why there is no asterisk against the Woodcote figures. Finally, I have been unable to unravel whether these additional figures have been included in any of the totals and subtotals of new homes elsewhere in this document but it is possible therefore that some or all of these other figures are incorrect.

Table 5 f: In the right-hand column (headed "Outstanding requirement for NDP"), there should be a Zero against Goring - and also presumably against Cholsey..

Q8. If your comments are more than 500 words long, please use the space below to provide a summary. You are not required to summarise your comments, but a summary would help us in our reporting.

No Response

Q9. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

Comment again, or finish?

Would you like to comment on another main modification or supporting document?

Yes, I'd like to make another comment

Part B - your comments

Q10. You can provide your comments on the Emerging South Oxfordshire Local Plan Proposed Main Modifications in this section. The list of documents you can comment on are: Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications as amended by Erratum Schedule of Policies Map Changes Sustainability Appraisal Addendum Habitats Regulations Assessment Addendum If you wish to provide comments on more than one proposed main modification or document, you will be given the option once you have completed this section. Please select the document you wish to comment on using the drop-down menu below:

Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications

Q11. Which Main Modification number or consultation document are you commenting on? If you are commenting on the Main Modification document, please provide the main modification number (for example MM1) in the box below. If you are unsure of the 'modification number', please click here to view the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications. If you are commenting on any of the other consultation documents (for example the Sustainability Appraisal Addendum), please provide the relevant section, paragraph or page number in the box

MM26

Q12. Please provide your comments in the box below. If your comments are over 500 words it would be really helpful if you could also provide a summary of your comments using the text box in the next question. You will also be able to upload any supporting documents using the button below.

Table 5 f: In the right-hand column (headed "Outstanding requirement for NDP"), there should be a Zero against Goring - and presumably also against Cholsey.

Q13. If your comments are more than 500 words long, please use the space below to provide a summary. You are not required to summarise your comments, but a summary would help us in our reporting.

No Response

Q14. Please upload any supporting documents below:

No Response

Comment again, or finish?

Would you like to comment on another main modification or supporting document?

No, I'm ready to submit my comments now and finish the survey