

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

Title	Mrs
Full name	Yvonne Jayne Madle
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	-
Job title (if relevant)	-
Address line 1	██████████
Address line 2	██████████
Address line 3	██████████
Postal town	██████
Postcode	██████████
Telephone number	-
Email address	████████████████████

Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: 7

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?		X		
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?		X		

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

I do not consider the local plan to be legally compliant or sound. There are a few reasons why.

1. Chalgrove Airfield is home to Martin Baker, who create and test ejector seat for aircrafts. They have been situated there for many years, creating jobs for local residents. The airfield is also used as an emergency landing runway. If your plan goes ahead, where does it leave them. Asking them to reduce the area they work on, would be reducing their amazing work. Also moving their runway (as it's been mentioned) would cost you many millions of pounds.
2. The infrastructure isn't there, so therefore would cause more unnecessary costs.
3. How much research has gone into the flood defences? There are currently more houses being built on the outskirts of chalgrove and more to be built at the other end. With these extra houses and the potential of the 3000 in the airfield, this raises many alarms.
4. Traffic. If this site goes ahead, you are talking about an increase of a further 6000 cars travelling through out local roads. Although the M40 is close by, to get to it you have to travel through small villages either way. At current it is bad enough during rush hours to get to and from the M40, I feel for the residents of Cuxham, Watlington and Little Milton as they are worst hit. If this site were to go ahead, it would cause a great uproar!
5. Pollution. With this amount of traffic coming our way, what does it do to our pollution? You are putting our children's health at risk, as well as our own. We live in a village because we chose to, for a better health for our children. Please do not take our choice away from us by turning our village into a noisy busy town.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No

Page 106: Future contact preferences

Q354. As explained in our data protection statement, in line with statutory regulations you will be contacted by the programme officer (and where necessary the council) with relevant updates on the Local Plan. South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils have a shared planning policy database. If you would like to be added to our database to receive updates on other planning policy consultations, please tick the relevant district box(es) below:

I would like to be added to the database to receive planning policy updates for South Oxfordshire