

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

Title	Mrs
Full name	sarah Kynoch
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	-
Job title (if relevant)	-
Address line 1	████████████████████
Address line 2	-
Address line 3	-
Postal town	██████
Postcode	██████
Telephone number	██████████
Email address	████████████████████

Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: STRAT 9

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?		X		
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?		X		

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

According to Government policy Framework, July 2018, Green Belt can only be changed if there are 'exceptional circumstances', 'fully evidenced and justified' and as a last resort.
There are no exceptional circumstances:
the plan includes an excessive number of houses for Oxford City although the precise level of unmet need is not clear
the evidence base is not sound - it has been rushed through without due analysis and research. Need for housing figures, are far exceeded by proposed supply for housing figures - SODC admits this in the local plan.
There has been scant duty to co-operate - The plan was rushed through debate by councillors in the space of one week immediately before Christmas with key documents not made freely available.
Dissenting Councillors were suspended before the vote.

Q8. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

The whole plan is completely unsound, based on poor research and unjustified use of green belt. It is not possible to suggest how to modify it, without starting again.
However, STRAT 9 (Culham) should be removed entirely from the Plan. The Inspector needs to ensure the site is visited in school term-time, so that a true idea of the unsustainability of the site and the horrendous road network problems can be fully appreciated.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No

Page 106: Future contact preferences

Q354. As explained in our data protection statement, in line with statutory regulations you will be contacted by the programme officer (and where necessary the council) with relevant updates on the Local Plan. South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils have a shared planning policy database. If you would like to be added to our database to receive updates on other planning policy consultations, please tick the relevant district box(es) below:

I would like to be added to the database to receive planning policy updates for South Oxfordshire

I would like to be added to the database to receive planning policy updates for Vale of White Horse