

# South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

## Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

## Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

<b>Title</b>	Mrs
<b>Full name</b>	Sarah King
<b>Business / Organisation name (if relevant)</b>	-
<b>Job title (if relevant)</b>	-
<b>Address line 1</b>	██████████
<b>Address line 2</b>	██████████████████
<b>Address line 3</b>	-
<b>Postal town</b>	██████████
<b>Postcode</b>	██████████
<b>Telephone number</b>	██████████
<b>Email address</b>	██████████████████████████████

## Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: H1

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?	X			
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?	X			

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Policy H1 3) ii) cross references with Appendix 7 - the settlement hierarchy. It sets out the Council's approach to infilling for the various settlements. However, Appendix 7 shows Berrick Salome to be in the 'Other Villages' category. This submission seeks to object to the inclusion of Berrick Salome within the 'Other Villages' category. It is considered that Berrick Salome should be included within the 'Smaller Villages' category at Appendix 7. This would accord with the current allocation of Berrick Salome as a 'smaller village' in the current Local Plan and the Settlement Assessment Background Paper, dated December 2018.

Q8. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

Berrick Salome should be moved from the 'Other villages' category to the 'Smaller villages' category set out in Appendix 7.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

## Page 9: Part B - your comments

Q12. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies [here](#). If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: H8

Q13. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?	X			
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?	X			

Q14. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Policy H8 relates to housing in the smaller villages (Appendix 7 - the settlement hierarchy refers). It sets out the Council's approach to infilling for the smaller villages. However, Appendix 7 shows Berrick Salome to be in the 'Other Villages' category. This submission seeks to object to the inclusion of Berrick Salome within the 'Other Villages' category. It is considered that Berrick Salome should be included within the 'Smaller Villages' category at Appendix 7. This would accord with the current allocation of Berrick Salome as a 'smaller village' in the current Local Plan and the Settlement Assessment Background Paper, dated December 2018.

Q15. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

Berrick Salome should be moved from the 'Other villages' category to the 'Smaller villages' category set out in Appendix 7.

Q17. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q18. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

## Page 11: Part B - your comments

Q19. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: Appendix 7

Q20. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?	X			
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?	X			

Q21. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Appendix 7 sets out the settlement hierarchy and shows Berrick Salome to be in the 'Other Villages' category. This submission seeks to object to the inclusion of Berrick Salome within the 'Other Villages' category. It is considered that Berrick Salome should be included within the 'Smaller Villages' category at Appendix 7. This would accord with the current allocation of Berrick Salome as a 'smaller village' in the current Local Plan and the Settlement Assessment Background Paper, dated December 2018.

Q22. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

Berrick Salome should be moved from the 'Other villages' category to the 'Smaller villages' category set out in Appendix 7.

Q24. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q25. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No

## Page 106: Future contact preferences

Q354. As explained in our data protection statement, in line with statutory regulations you will be contacted by the programme officer (and where necessary the council) with relevant updates on the Local Plan. South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils have a shared planning policy database. If you would like to be added to our database to receive updates on other planning policy consultations, please tick the relevant district box(es) below:

I would like to be added to the database to receive planning policy updates for South Oxfordshire