

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

Title	Mr
Full name	Andrew James
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	-
Job title (if relevant)	-
Address line 1	██████████
Address line 2	-
Address line 3	-
Postal town	██████████
Postcode	██████████
Telephone number	██████████
Email address	██████████

Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: STRAT7

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Development plans do not adequately address factors relating the the sites selected, most notably road infrastructure needs. Oxfordshire roads are varied and complex and many have limited potential for improvement. There are few coherent provisions made for the significant increases in road traffic the proposed developments would result in. Furthermore, ongoing small scale developments are already stretching the infrastructure to limits and decreasing safety on many roads in the area. A major review of traffic levels, provision, flow rates and congestion mitigation is required before any large-scale developments can be considered.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

Page 9: Part B - your comments

Q12. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: STRAT9

Q13. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q14. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Development plans do not adequately address factors relating the the sites selected, most notably road infrastructure needs. Oxfordshire roads are varied and complex and many have limited potential for improvement. There are few coherent provisions made for the significant increases in road traffic the proposed developments would result in. Furthermore, ongoing small scale developments are already stretching the infrastructure to limits and decreasing safety on many roads in the area. A major review of traffic levels, provision, flow rates and congestion mitigation is required before any large-scale developments can be considered.

Q17. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q18. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

Page 11: Part B - your comments

Q19. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies [here](#). If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: STRAT8

Q20. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q21. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Development plans do not adequately address factors relating the the sites selected, most notably road infrastructure needs. Oxfordshire roads are varied and complex and many have limited potential for improvement. There are few coherent provisions made for the significant increases in road traffic the proposed developments would result in. Furthermore, ongoing small scale developments are already stretching the infrastructure to limits and decreasing safety on many roads in the area. A major review of traffic levels, provision, flow rates and congestion mitigation is required before any large-scale developments can be considered.

Q24. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q25. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

Page 13: Part B - your comments

Q26. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: STRAT10

Q27. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q28. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Development plans do not adequately address factors relating the the sites selected, most notably road infrastructure needs. Oxfordshire roads are varied and complex and many have limited potential for improvement. There are few coherent provisions made for the significant increases in road traffic the proposed developments would result in. Furthermore, ongoing small scale developments are already stretching the infrastructure to limits and decreasing safety on many roads in the area. A major review of traffic levels, provision, flow rates and congestion mitigation is required before any large-scale developments can be considered.

Q31. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q32. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

Page 15: Part B - your comments

Q33. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: STRAT12

Q34. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q35. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Development plans do not adequately address factors relating to the sites selected, most notably road infrastructure needs. Oxfordshire roads are varied and complex and many have limited potential for improvement. There are few coherent provisions made for the significant increases in road traffic the proposed developments would result in. Furthermore, ongoing small scale developments are already stretching the infrastructure to limits and decreasing safety on many roads in the area. A major review of traffic levels, provision, flow rates and congestion mitigation is required before any large-scale developments can be considered.

Q38. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q39. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

Page 17: Part B - your comments

Q40. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: STRAT13

Q41. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q42. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Development plans do not adequately address factors relating the the sites selected, most notably road infrastructure needs. Oxfordshire roads are varied and complex and many have limited potential for improvement. There are few coherent provisions made for the significant increases in road traffic the proposed developments would result in. Furthermore, ongoing small scale developments are already stretching the infrastructure to limits and decreasing safety on many roads in the area. A major review of traffic levels, provision, flow rates and congestion mitigation is required before any large-scale developments can be considered.

Q45. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q46. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

Page 19: Part B - your comments

Q47. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies [here](#). If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: STRAT7

Q48. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q49. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Chalgrove voted for a neighbourhood plan which has been adopted and, as a village, engaged with the planning process and the need to provide additional housing in the area. As a result there is already a plan for a 30% increase in housing in Chalgrove (double the 15% required by the local plan for larger villages).

The plans in STRAT7 totally undermine the existing village by placing a housing development 3 times the size of the village on a site directly adjacent. This totally changes the environment, character and surroundings of the village and would decimate the character of the current settlement.

The infrastructure plans for Chalgrove are totally inadequate. There is little provision for public transport and no dedicated infrastructure for cycling. Proposed bus routes are inadequate and would only serve a small proportion of the proposed population. Walking routes are fragmented and inadequate for anything other than casual use. Car-based transport will be highly problematic due to the road infrastructure in the area being totally inadequate. There are severe restrictions to capacity in all directions - Stadhampton has narrow roads and a roundabout which already causes extensive congestion, Little Milton has a single track road and access issues onto the main road caused by parked cars, Cuxham has tight corners, narrow roads and access problems caused by parked cars and access to Benson is via Berrick Salome, which has winding, narrow roads or Hollantide Lane, which has single-track portions and is already over-utilised by existing traffic.

Proposed plans for infrastructure are poorly conceived and, rather than solving a traffic management problem, introduce new issues by providing increased capacity from the M40 into the vicinity of Oxford, which would be used by motorway traffic and thus require an even higher road capacity than has been envisaged.

Relocating the Martin Baker facilities and then siting a major housing development in close proximity is a major concern. The test facilities are used on a regular basis and most certainly constitute a noise hazard, if not a safety hazard to a housing development. The runway facilities would also be in close proximity to housing and social facilities and although risk would not be significant, the site should be compared on this basis to other areas which do not pose such a risk to building development works. Martin Baker themselves are highly sceptical of these plans and do not support them, necessitating a possible compulsory purchase order which would delay acquisition of the land for development. The plan states that it would not be expected to complete these activities until 2026/27, which takes the plan beyond the 5 year threshold for specific, deliverable sites.

Q52. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q53. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No