

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

Title	-
Full name	Daniel Hiller
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	-
Job title (if relevant)	-
Address line 1	██████████
Address line 2	██████████
Address line 3	-
Postal town	██████
Postcode	██████
Telephone number	-
Email address	██████████

Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: Policy STRAT11: Land south of Grenoble Road

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?		X		
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?		X		

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

It is questionable that the Plan is legal because:

1. Proper consultation (under Regulation 18) has not taken place
2. The "exceptional circumstances" to remove the Grenoble Road site from the Green Belt is based on an assessment of housing needs in the City which is unproven.

I do not think the Plan is 'sound' because:

1. It has not been based on good evidence, produced cooperatively with other agencies (eg. Highways England, Highways Department of OCC) or with enough consideration of transport and sustainability of the local environment.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No