

# South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

## Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

## Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

<b>Title</b>	Mrs
<b>Full name</b>	Priscilla Greenall
<b>Business / Organisation name (if relevant)</b>	-
<b>Job title (if relevant)</b>	-
<b>Address line 1</b>	██████████
<b>Address line 2</b>	██████████████████
<b>Address line 3</b>	-
<b>Postal town</b>	██████
<b>Postcode</b>	██████████
<b>Telephone number</b>	██████████████████
<b>Email address</b>	██████████████████████████████

## Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

**Document / Policy / Paragraph:** STRAT6:Green Belt

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?	X			
are sound?	X			
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?	X			

Q8. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

I feel that there are now exceptional circumstances that justify changing the the boundary of the green belt to allow development at Culham, Science centre and adjacent to it. Also in Berinsfield, Grenoble Road, north of Bayswater Brook and Wheatley

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

## Page 9: Part B - your comments

Q12. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

**Document / Policy / Paragraph:** STRAT8, STRAT9,STRAT10

Q13. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?	X			
are sound?	X			
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?	X			

Q15. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

I agree that in the Overall Strategy document that suggests focusing development in Didcot and the Culham developments and Berisfieldans this will provide homes close to the science area with good transportation links.

Q17. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q18. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

## Page 11: Part B - your comments

Q19. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies [here](#). If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

**Document / Policy / Paragraph:** STRAT11,STRAT12,STRAT13

Q20. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?	X			
are sound?	X			
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?	X			

Q21. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

These three sites represent good locations for people working in Oxford. There are two large employers the BMW plant and JR hospital. The latter has significant traffic issues and would benefit from a workforce within walking, cycling or a short bus journey away. These developments als help people on low income as they offer a large proportion of affordable homes.

Q24. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q25. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

## Page 13: Part B - your comments

Q26. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

**Document / Policy / Paragraph:** STRAT7

Q27. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
<b>are legally compliant?</b>		X		
<b>are sound?</b>		X		
<b>comply with the Duty to Co-operate?</b>	X			

Q28. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

This plan does not support a number of SODC's Strategic Objectives, see Chapter 3  
 OBJ1.2 This will change a rural community to an urban community  
 1.4 No transport links to the Science Vale  
 4.2 This site is too remote for walking or cycling to Science Vale, Oxford or Reading. Public transportation is very unlikely especially in light of recent cut backs in this area  
 5.2 This development represents a fundamental change in the scale and character of this village  
 6.1 Contradicts the Chalgrove neighbour plan  
 Not supported by the main employer and leaseholder.  
 8.2 this development would create more carbon emissions than developments closer to employment and already difficult flooding in. Chalgrove

Finally there are huge costs involved in developing this site relating to costs of moving the runway, resolving flooding issues and the necessary bypasses for Stadhampton, Chislehampton, Little Milton and Cuxham and Watlington.

Q29. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

STRAT7 should be removed from the plan. Other more appropriate developments from SODC meet the stated actual housing requirement.

Q31. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q32. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No