

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

Title	Mr
Full name	Simon Gray
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	-
Job title (if relevant)	-
Address line 1	[REDACTED]
Address line 2	-
Address line 3	-
Postal town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]
Email address	[REDACTED]

Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: Policy STRAT6: Green Belt

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

There are significant contradictions in the Local Plan proposal and the intended actions:

Reference:

4.60 The Local Plan gives substantial weight to protecting the Green Belt when considering any planning application. Only appropriate development will be permitted in the Green Belt in accordance with national planning policy; inappropriate development will only be permitted in very special circumstances.

HOWEVER, 85% of the strategic development proposals are situated in the Greenbelt, despite many brownfield sites being available throughout South Oxfordshire that could support the required development levels AND are better connected to existing infrastructure.

In all cases, the strategic developments constitute urban sprawl.

Q8. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

The available brownfield sites in South Oxfordshire that could support required housing are listed on the SODC website and are available to view here:

<http://www.southoxon.gov.uk/services-and-advice/planning-and-building/planning-policy/brownfield-land-register>

Any of these developments could better support the housing requirement and as they are overall smaller development sites, they would provide greater diversity of housing need and better satisfy the impact of health, as opposed to the larger development sites on greenbelt which will increase congestion in a more concentrated area.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

Yes

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

Page 9: Part B - your comments

Q12. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph:

Local Plan / Policy STRAT9: Land Adjacent to Culham Science Centre / 4. vii) a)

Q13. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q14. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

It is not possible to satisfactorily upgrade the entire infrastructure as is implied.

A bypass at Clifton Hampden will increase traffic flow past the village, and directly impact the health, safety and lifestyle of Nuneham Courtenay, which is a single-lane linear village that already suffers from 20,000 cars per day with over 650 HGVs.

Nuneham Courtenay would require traffic light crossings (to ensure the safety of villagers crossing the road, speed bumps to limit the speed (the speed limit in the linear village is NOT adhered to)

The increased traffic would significantly impact on commuting.

Q15. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

I suggest reviewing this plan to extend the Clifton Hampden bypass over the Golden Balls roundabout and cutting between the back of Marsh Baldon and Chiselhampton onto the B480, which is open , flat terrain which has no housing directly on the roadside and can support a wider carriageway for traffic heading into Oxford from the South, into Cowley; a higher area of employment and retail.

OR

Extending the bypass from Clifton Hampden along the river frontage and joining the A4074 at the dual carriage way at Sandford-on-Thames.

Q17. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

Yes

Q18. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

Q19. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph:

Local Plan / Policy STRAT9: Land Adjacent to Culham Science Centre / 4. iv

Q20. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q21. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Reference:

4. iv) a layout and form that respects the setting of the heritage assets within and beyond the site; in particular the Listed buildings and structures (the Culham railway station and rail bridges and "Schola Europaea") and the registered Park and Garden associated with Nuneham House;

The village of Nuneham Courtenay is a protected extension of Nuneham House with a signed covenant with the Oxford University. It is the only Grade II listed village of its design in the United Kingdom, however there is no commitment to support the conservation of the village.

The plan is not sound as it is being selective in the listed properties it respects and protects.

Q22. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

Suggested modification:

4. iv) a layout and form that respects the setting of the heritage assets within and beyond the site; in particular the Listed buildings and structures (the Culham railway station and rail bridges and "Schola Europaea") and the registered Park and Garden associated with Nuneham House, including the associated housing and buildings that are included in the Nuneham Estate and Village;

Q24. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

Yes

Q25. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

Page 13: Part B - your comments

Q26. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: Policy STRAT11: Land south of Grenoble Road /

Q27. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q28. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

1. This site is greenbelt and will significantly increase urban sprawl.
2. The proposed housing density is 70, it should be a maximum of 45 as it is not urban, it is rural.
3. The land is being abused by the relationship between the South Oxfordshire District Council and the Oxfordshire Growth Board - the Leader of which is the same person - to meet Oxford's need for additional housing. If Oxford requires additional housing it should not pass or accept a transference of liability to another council.
4. The development is being used as a platform to build a new Park & Ride, which justifies the development. The current Redbridge Park & Ride is under-utilised, thus it cannot justify a new location.
5. No consideration has been given to the impact the additional traffic will have on the residents on Nuneham Courtenay, which already has over 20,000 cars a day and over 650 HGVs. The impact of air and noise pollution will have a significant, negative impact on the health of residents.
6. Residents cannot be expected to safely egress their properties on the A4074 without suitable traffic diversion or management.

Q29. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

Cllr Jane Murphy accepted the liability from Oxford County Council to build in the region of 4,000 homes to satisfy the required housing for Oxford.
Oxford City Council should look within it's own boundaries - such as the Old Papermill site, (plus the many other available sites), to meet it;s own demand.

Q31. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

Yes

Q32. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No

Page 106: Future contact preferences

Q354. As explained in our data protection statement, in line with statutory regulations you will be contacted by the programme officer (and where necessary the council) with relevant updates on the Local Plan. South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils have a shared planning policy database. If you would like to be added to our database to receive updates on other planning policy consultations, please tick the relevant district box(es) below:

I would like to be added to the database to receive planning policy updates for South Oxfordshire