

# South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

## Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

## Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

Title	Jane
Full name	Goldsmith
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	-
Job title (if relevant)	-
Address line 1	██████████
Address line 2	-
Address line 3	-
Postal town	██████
Postcode	██████
Telephone number	-
Email address	████████████████████

## Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: STRAT 7

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?	X			
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?	X			

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

I believe that the Plan is unsound in respect of STRAT 7 for the following reasons.

Sustainability. The Plan does not comply with SODC's own highly detailed sustainability appraisals. The appraisals indicate that the Chalgrove Airfield site has very poor sustainability, significantly poorer than the strategic sites closer to Oxford City and Reading. We would highlight the following:

- The Chalgrove Airfield site would create significantly more road traffic than other sites, creating significant additional carbon emission issues.
- The site would require much greater road transport infrastructure development than other options with very substantial additional cost.
- While we believe the developers now recognise the serious issues in Cuxham which will arise from the increased traffic, at the time of this submission we have no definitive proposal to consider.

Housing Allocation Numbers. The Plan is unsound because more houses are allocated via the proposed development than are required in the SODC's scoping of housing need. The Plan can align housing need without inclusion of the proposed Chalgrove Airfield development.

Delivery and Viability. The Chalgrove Airfield site is currently unavailable and evidence has not been provided that serious issues concerning its delivery and viability have been overcome.

Q8. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

Please remove the proposal for the development at Chalgrove Airfield

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No