

# South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034


## Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

## Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

<b>Title</b>	Mr
<b>Full name</b>	Thomas Fox
<b>Business / Organisation name (if relevant)</b>	-
<b>Job title (if relevant)</b>	-
<b>Address line 1</b>	
<b>Address line 2</b>	
<b>Address line 3</b>	
<b>Postal town</b>	
<b>Postcode</b>	
<b>Telephone number</b>	
<b>Email address</b>	

## Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

**Document / Policy / Paragraph:** South Oxon LP Strat 11

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

1. The plan is based on an out of date unmet need allocation from Oxford City. It is unsound to knowingly use false data as the basis for a plan which impacts tens of thousands of people for such a large portion of their lives. All of the required homes (if the recommended calculation were used) could and should be accommodated in Oxford City.

The intent to use Green Belt Land so close to Sandford on Thames has not been tested through the ballot box and represents an unwelcome disregard for democratic principles. The necessity for the Green Belt has been established as fundamental a part of British life. To use bureaucratic power to destroy it willy-nilly without a meaningful public debate is a betrayal of our's and our children's futures.

The scale of the proposed Grenoble Development will swamp the nearby village of Sanford on Thames, removing an important amenity that is used and valued by villagers and other nearby communities. Paradoxically, the proposed development will also destroy a outdoor leisure location used by people living and working in Oxford City, the very lives that the Development is erroneously intended to enhance.

Q8. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

The proposed changes to the green belt should be removed from the plan.

The development of the Grenoble site as it is currently defined should be removed from the plan.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No