

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

Title	Mr
Full name	Chris doel
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	-
Job title (if relevant)	-
Address line 1	██████████
Address line 2	██████████████████
Address line 3	-
Postal town	██████████
Postcode	██████████
Telephone number	██████████████
Email address	██████████████████████████████

Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: Policy STRAT9

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

The A415 can clearly not accommodate the extra traffic coming into Abingdon. I already waste 1 hour a day on this road as my use of it is unavoidable. Adding extra journey time to this is planning insanity. Any supporting infrastructure will not mitigate all the effects of the new development and will not be built in time. This area, Culham and Sutton Courtenay, has already been hugely overdeveloped in the last 10 years. This would just about finally destroy the villages - so given what our planning policies have been since 2010 I expect it to go ahead anyway. Thanks.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No