

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Respondent Details

Contact Details	
Name	Mrs Lambert
Email	[REDACTED]

Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:
Business / Organisation

Page 6: Business / organisation contact details

Q4. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:	
Business / organisation name	Caversham and District Residents Association
Contact name	Helen Lambert
Address line 1	[REDACTED]
Address line 2	[REDACTED]
Address line 3	-
Postal town	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]
Email address	[REDACTED]

Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.
Document / Policy / Paragraph: Strat 1 and Strat 5 p41

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

Thank you for the consultation. We have read the briefing note and the report on site analysis with great interest.

CADRA is pleased to note that the three potential sites on the Reading border have been discounted. We find the reasons very interesting, as they include sewer and electricity capacity, congestion on the Thames bridges and impact on the AONB. These constraints appear to us to limit any other potential large sites in this area and their recognition is very welcome.

One slight concern arises from the table of housing densities in the briefing note: the category of 'Major Centre and Sustainable Transport Hubs' lists Didcot, Oxford and Reading. We gather that the inclusion of Reading is causing concern among local parishes, given that the Reading border sites have all been discounted. We would welcome clarification as to why Reading appears in this list, which may well be a drafting error.

Q8. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

Clarification on land on the Reading border. The density shown for Reading at STRAT 5 appears to contradict with other policies including STRAT 1 - "ensuring that outside of the towns and villages any changes relates to very specific needs such as those of the agricultural industry or enhancement of the environment."

The density indicated also conflicts with the substantial constraints identified for land near the Reading border including sewer and electricity capacity, congestion on the Thames bridges and impact on the AONB.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

Yes

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No

Q354. As explained in our data protection statement, in line with statutory regulations you will be contacted by the programme officer (and where necessary the council) with relevant updates on the Local Plan. South Oxfordshire and Vale of White Horse District Councils have a shared planning policy database. If you would like to be added to our database to receive updates on other planning policy consultations, please tick the relevant district box(es) below:

I would like to be added to the database to receive planning policy updates for South Oxfordshire