

# South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034


## Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

## Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

<b>Title</b>	Ms
<b>Full name</b>	Amelia Casey-Rerhaye
<b>Business / Organisation name (if relevant)</b>	-
<b>Job title (if relevant)</b>	-
<b>Address line 1</b>	
<b>Address line 2</b>	
<b>Address line 3</b>	
<b>Postal town</b>	
<b>Postcode</b>	
<b>Telephone number</b>	
<b>Email address</b>	

## Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

**Document / Policy / Paragraph:** Strat 1

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?			X	
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?			X	

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

There are already enough houses being built to cope with demand in the District. You say that you need more houses because there must be economic growth here. I say that this is stupid because there is no unemployment in the District and building more houses won't solve the biggest problem here which is house prices are too high. You won't change that by building more house because house prices are tied up with the whole economy and rules around lending, borrowing, low interest rates, buy to let and holiday homes, finance rules, pensions being unreliable etc etc. It is not about supply and demand and anyone who thinks that and is a councillor needs to go and study economics before they stand as councillor because saying we need these houses and development when we do not is very destructive of Greenbelt, fields, makes more carbon and other environmental destruction! SODC should be looking at real need and not fanciful growth - this is one of the wealthiest areas in the country and there is no need for this massive push for growth here. We do not need to make more and pay more taxes just because we already pay a net contribution to the Treasury - that is twisted logic! Use good reliable data to estimate true need, not greed because greed is destructive.

Q8. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

Use proper data and use the rate houses are built now, at the very most. Take the time to do proper research and stop rushing this through - there is already a Local Plan so it is not necessary to rush this one.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

Yes

## Page 9: Part B - your comments

Q12. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

**Document / Policy / Paragraph:** Strat 9

Q13. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?		X		
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?		X		

Q14. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

The circumstances put forward in the PPlan for taking land out of the Greenbelt at Culham are not exceptional. Culham Science Centre is not expanding at the rate said in the PPlan and it will not. If you examine the Strat 8 there is nothing more than marketing speak about the Science Centre and not facts. The railway at Culham is small and two track - there is no room for more trains on this track because of freight and the fast-trains that use it. Appleford wanted more trains to stop at their station but the train company said there cannot be anymore stopping trains on that line as there is no room/time slots that will allow this. This land is surrounded by river on three sides - with climate change, who knows how much more flooding there could be? There should not be a new town built here with traffic already very bad and no other forms of transport apart from an irregular, infrequent train service. The bus service along the main road was taken away some years ago, despite there being a school along there (Europa) that used it and others. This town will cause gridlock, pollution, and all for no good reason or need

Q15. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

Strat 9 should be removed. Also all Greenbelt sites in this plan should be removed . None of them are justifiable or necessary or exceptional circumstances justify any of them.

Q17. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q18. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No