

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

Title	Miss
Full name	Jessica Cardenas
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	-
Job title (if relevant)	-
Address line 1	██████████
Address line 2	██████████
Address line 3	-
Postal town	██████████
Postcode	██████
Telephone number	-
Email address	████████████████████

Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: STRAT 13:land north of Bayswater Brook

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?		X		
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?		X		

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

There is little evidence to demonstrate the suggested proposals are legally compliant or sound. As a Sandhills resident, I have never been consulted on about this plans, which in itself makes these proposals non compliant with SODCs own statutory policy. the proposed 160-200 houses represents a 60% increase in housing, which goes against the National Planning Policy Framework. The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) calculates needs 22,465 new homes. The Local Plan includes 28,465 homes so housing at Bayswater Field is not required. There is no Public Transport for Sandhills and if there where to be major road works would be need to allow this, which in itself would cause major problems for local residence, the local school, delivery's ext. no flood risk assessments have been carried out and as Bayswater Farm is at the bottom of Sandhills i would think this is a BIG must
we have brown hare in and around the BF area which are currently on the endangered animals list along with a variety of British wild life and Fauna.

Q8. Please set out any modifications you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to your comments above. (NB - any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). It will be helpful if you could put forward your suggested wording of any policy or text as precisely as possible.

Remove Bayswater Farm from the proposed LNBB
If it is to be considered it must be assessed as a stand alone site. A separate timetable and local development scheme must be presented. A FULL consultation has to be conducted and adhere to National Policies & Legislation has to be transparent, including the reason for its removal from Greenbelt. furthermore, the Plan has to present in a sound manner, positively prepared with all assessments results being available. A justification provided for the housing need and clear plans to present how the infrastructure might be affected and which plans are in place to mitigate such risks. Personally i believe this land should be left for common use by the locals who have no green accessible area for pleasure, health and just general well being which every human should have access too

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No