

South Oxfordshire Local Plan 2034

Page 3: Part A - contact details

Q1. Are you responding as an:

Individual

Page 4: Individual contact details

Q2. Due to the plan-making process including an independent examination, a name and means of contact is required for your comments to be considered:

Title	Mr
Full name	Harold Caldwell
Business / Organisation name (if relevant)	-
Job title (if relevant)	-
Address line 1	██████████
Address line 2	██████████
Address line 3	-
Postal town	██████
Postcode	██████████
Telephone number	-
Email address	████████████████████

Page 7: Part B - your comments

Q5. For comments on the Local Plan, please provide the paragraph or policy to which your comments relates. You can view a list of policies here. If you wish to comment on one of the evidence documents or the policies maps, please state the document title as well as the paragraph or policy reference.

Document / Policy / Paragraph: Policy STRAT11:Land south of Grenoble Road

Q6. Do you consider the Local Plan and supporting documents:

	Yes	No	Don't know	Not answered (OPTION HIDDEN FROM LIVE SURVEY)
are legally compliant?		X		
are sound?		X		
comply with the Duty to Co-operate?		X		

Q7. Please provide further information in relation to the previous question. e.g. why you do or do not consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant or sound.

The exceptional circumstances to remove the Grenoble Road site from the Green Belt is based on an assessment of housing needs in Oxford City which is unproven and based on a methodology now defunct.

Proper consultation (Reg. 18) has not taken place on this Plan which is significantly different from the agreed Plan 2017. There have been many changes including those housing sites being proposed now. It is unsound as there is coordination with other plans e.g. Expressway.

The exceptional circumstances that allow development on the Green Belt have not been satisfactorily established or explained. The harm caused by removing Grenoble Road from the Green Belt is greater than any suggested benefits.

There are inadequate plans to improve the infrastructure (roads, public transport, sewage, shops, doctors and other amenities) to support this development.

Cycling, which I enjoy, will become even more dangerous.

The proposed Park and Ride will generate more traffic up the A4074.

Routes for the buses to get into the City Centre will have to be on roads already congested which can be evidenced during the morning and evening commuter rush-hours. The existing roadway serving Greater Leys get very congested and the roads beyond that get grid-locked at present without any more development.

The Cowley branch line is too far removed to be used for the site and to be useful.

The Development will have a major impact on the nature of the local environment and would "swamp" the current Sandford Village. It is not proportionate to the current long-standing village life. The density of the proposals seems out of keeping and more akin to City developments.

The added noise, air and light pollution is detrimental to good public health.

Q10. Would you like to participate at the oral part of the examination, which takes place as part of the examination process?

No

Q11. Would you like to comment on another policy or paragraph?

No